

What Are the Best Practices for Psychotherapy With Indigenous Peoples in the United States and Canada? A Thorny Question

Dennis C. Wendt¹, Kelsey Huson¹, Mawdah Albatnuni¹, and Joseph P. Gone^{2, 3}

¹Department of Educational and Counselling Psychology, McGill University

²Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School

³Department of Anthropology, Harvard University

Objective: This conceptual article addresses “best practices” for Indigenous Peoples in the United States and Canada. This topic is “thorny” both pragmatically (e.g., rare representation in clinical trials) and ethically (e.g., ongoing settler colonialism). **Method:** We outline four potential approaches, or “paths,” in conceptualizing best practices for psychotherapy: (a) limiting psychotherapy to empirically supported treatments, (b) prioritizing the use of culturally adapted interventions, (c) focusing on common factors of psychotherapy, and (d) promoting grassroots Indigenous approaches and traditional healing. **Results:** Lessons from our four-path journey include (a) the limits of empirically supported treatments, which are inadequate in number and scope when it comes to Indigenous clients, (b) the value of prioritizing interventions that are culturally adapted and/or evaluated for use with Indigenous populations, (c) the importance of common factors of evidence-based practice, alongside the danger of psychotherapy as a covert assimilative enterprise, and (d) the need to support traditional and grassroots cultural interventions that promote “culture-as-treatment.” **Conclusions:** A greater commitment to community-engaged research and cultural humility is necessary to promote Indigenous mental health, including greater attention to supporting traditional healing and Indigenous-led cultural interventions.

What is the public health significance of this article?

This article provides an overview to best practices for clinical psychologists working with Indigenous individuals and communities. Psychologists need to balance the delivery of evidence-based treatments with Indigenous Peoples, with the need to take caution to avoid harm and also support Indigenous-led cultural interventions.

Keywords: Indigenous Peoples, psychotherapy, best practices, evidence-based practice, culture and mental health

What are the “best practices” for psychotherapy with Indigenous Peoples in the United States (U.S.) and Canada? We attempt to answer this question, but we note at the outset that its answer is far from simple. As we discuss, intervention research and implementation goals that may be warranted for the general population have limited import in Indigenous contexts. These limitations exist at a pragmatic level but also at more substantive cultural, ethical, and political levels which, we argue, are not adequately addressed by the discipline. Thus, in attempting to answer the question of best practices, we simultaneously propose in this conceptual article

for a paradigm shift in the profession’s responsiveness to Indigenous Peoples.

Indigenous Peoples, Coloniality, and Mental Health Interventions

This article focuses on Indigenous Peoples of the United States and Canada, which encompasses (in the United States) American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian peoples, and (in Canada) First Nations, Métis, and Inuit peoples. In the 2020 U.S.

This article was published Online First October 3, 2022.

Dennis C. Wendt  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9652-1182>

Joseph P. Gone  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0572-1179>

Our work was supported by the Richard H. Tomlinson Doctoral Fellowship and the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council Doctoral Fellowship. The authors have no known conflict of interest to disclose.

Dennis C. Wendt played lead role in conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, project administration, supervision, writing of original draft and writing of review and editing. Kelsey Huson played

supporting role in data curation, formal analysis, methodology, writing of original draft and writing of review and editing. Mawdah Albatnuni played supporting role in data curation, formal analysis, writing of original draft and writing of review and editing. Joseph P. Gone played supporting role in conceptualization, methodology, writing of original draft and writing of review and editing.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dennis C. Wendt, Department of Educational and Counselling Psychology, McGill University, 3700 McTavish Street, Room 614, Montreal, QC, H3A 1Y2, Canada. Email: dennis.wendt@mcgill.ca

Census, 9.7 million (2.9%) identified as American Indian/Alaska Native (alone or in combination with other identities), and 1.6 million (0.5%) identified as Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (alone or in combination). In the 2016 Canadian census, 977,230 (2.8%) identified as First Nations, 587,545 (1.7%) identified as Métis, and 65,025 (0.2%) identified as Inuit. These numbers are growing rapidly: the American Indian/Alaska Native population grew by 86.5% between 2010 and 2020; the First Nations population grew by 39.3% between 2006 and 2016. Most Indigenous individuals live in urban areas: 71% in the United States and 52% in Canada.

Although relatively small in number, there is enormous diversity among this population (akin to the cultural, linguistic, and religious diversity of Europe; [Gone, 2004](#)). Thus, caution is warranted in any attempt to provide broad summaries and recommendations. At the same time, commonalities exist in relationship to settler-colonial nations, policies, and societies. Perhaps most important, when we refer to Indigenous Peoples, we are not designating only a set of self-identified individuals, but also sovereign Nations with their own traditions, governance structures, and land claims. In the United States, there are 574 federally recognized and 63 additional state-recognized Tribal Nations; in Canada, there are 634 First Nations. These Nations exist in an ongoing and uneasy relationship with settler federal, provincial/state, and municipal governments, including subjection to a long and unbroken history of colonial violence, land dispossession, forced assimilationist laws, abusive practices, broken treaties, and discrimination ([Sunga, 2017](#)). In the past decade, there has been a surge of research on historical trauma that many Indigenous individuals have endured as a result ([Gameon & Skewes, 2020](#); [Gone et al., 2019](#)). Indigenous Peoples are not mere victims, however, and have strategically, creatively, and resourcefully resisted colonial violence and oppression for generations—a testament of their strength, resilience, and survivance ([Hartmann et al., 2019](#)).

Within this historical and ongoing settler-colonial context, Indigenous Peoples understandably suffer from many mental health inequities in comparison with non-Indigenous People or the dominant settler societies. These include—with wide variation between Nations and individuals—disparities in suicide, problematic substance use, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and other psychiatric conditions ([Gone & Trimble, 2012](#)). Notably, in a large epidemiological study in the United States, mental health and addictions inequities between Indigenous and non-Indigenous People were substantively reduced when controlling for socioeconomic factors (e.g., income; [Brave Heart et al., 2016](#)). Thus, an individually oriented approach to mental health is limited, in comparison to systemic, community, and political solutions to address endemic poverty, historical trauma, and related problems ([Wexler & Gone, 2012](#)).

Psychotherapy With Indigenous Clients: Four Paths

Given the intersection of ongoing coloniality and mental health disparities, ethical and effective psychotherapy with Indigenous clients is necessarily complicated and multifaceted. Although there has been increased attention to Indigenous considerations within psychology research in the past decade, Indigenous samples are rarely evaluated in clinical trials ([Pomerville et al., 2016](#)). Moreover, Indigenous-specific considerations for psychotherapy are not

addressed in the most recent *Multicultural Guidelines* of the [American Psychological Association \(2017\)](#), as these guidelines are generally focused on broad considerations and American Indian/Alaska Native peoples are rarely even mentioned. More recently, the [Canadian Psychological Association \(CPA\) and Psychology Foundation of Canada \(2018\)](#) issued a report outlining broad guidelines for psychologists working with Indigenous Peoples in Canada. Some of these guidelines—which we suspect are not well known in the United States—are integrated in this article, in addition to recommendations from the literature within both countries.

We aim to provide an orientation to complexities and recommendations for psychotherapy with Indigenous clients, at the intersection of evidence-based practice and culturally responsive interventions. An innovation of our approach in this article is an orientation to four potential approaches, or “paths,” in conceptualizing best practices for psychotherapy. The first path involves limiting psychotherapy to empirically supported treatments, the second prioritizes the use of culturally adapted interventions, the third focuses on common factors of psychotherapy, and the fourth emphasizes the promotion of grassroots Indigenous approaches. None of these paths are inherently “right” or “wrong,” but each has strengths (“berries”) and weaknesses (“thorny patches”) within a context of limited relevant research.

Before we proceed, a couple of cautions: First, as we hope will become clear, there are important reasons to resist psychotherapy guidelines with Indigenous Peoples as being definitive or adequately appropriate to the immense diversity within and between Indigenous communities. As a result, those who are looking for simple solutions or checklists for “how to do therapy with Indigenous clients” may be disappointed. Second, our journey may require cultural humility from the reader, as it pertains to critical interrogation of the profession’s epistemologies, frameworks, and approaches, as well as open-mindedness about ways of knowing and doing from outside of established academic traditions and professionalized practices ([Christopher et al., 2014](#); [Canadian Psychological Association & Psychology Foundation of Canada, 2018](#)). This invitation may be challenging for clinical psychology, which some observers have argued has narrowed intellectually and practically in recent decades (see, e.g., [Levy & Anderson, 2013](#)). However, we are hopeful that recent societal and professional interrogation of systemic racism ([Buchanan et al., 2021](#)) may be generative toward a more expansive clinical psychology.

Path 1: Empirically Supported Treatments

Our first path is the default starting place for many in the profession: to emphasize the use of empirically supported treatments (ESTs). ESTs are specific interventions—frequently manualized and generally targeted to specific disorders—that have been vetted through randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Sometimes referred to as evidence-based treatments or empirically validated treatments, ESTs have proliferated within psychotherapy in recent decades and there is increased pressure for their routine use ([Norcross et al., 2006](#)). ESTs based on RCTs are seen to be especially valuable, as these have been evaluated against various controls such as the passage of time, placebo effects, or rival interventions ([Lilienfeld et al., 2018](#)).

In theory, then, beginning with ESTs makes sense. However, we soon discover on this path that the number of interventions that have been rigorously evaluated (let alone validated) for Indigenous clients are few. For this article, we consulted 10 systematic/scoping reviews inclusive of mental health intervention studies with Indigenous adults and adolescents in the United States and Canada published in the past 10 years (Antonio & Chung-Do, 2015; Calabria et al., 2012; Gameon & Skewes, 2020; Leske et al., 2016; Liddell & Burnette, 2017; Pollok et al., 2018; Pomerville et al., 2016; Pride et al., 2021; Rowan et al., 2014; Toombs et al., 2021). These reviews were identified through a PsycINFO title search in March 2022 (Indigenous terms AND psychotherapy terms AND “review” or “scoping”), resulting in 35 articles; excluded articles were not systematic/scoping reviews ($n = 7$) or focused outside the United States or Canada ($n = 4$), or else were limited to prevention ($n = 2$), young children ($n = 2$), or contexts distal from mental health or psychotherapy ($n = 10$). From these reviews, we identified seven studies that reported pre–post quantitative psychotherapy outcomes and were published in peer-reviewed journals since 1995. (We excluded studies that were distal from talk-based psychotherapy, such as those that evaluated multi-intervention treatment programs or that focused primarily on prevention, pharmacology, smoking cessation, contingency management, psychoeducation, parenting skills, or cultural activities.) These studies were supplemented with a PsycINFO search in March 2022 of RCTs with Indigenous clients (Indigenous terms [in title] AND psychotherapy terms [all fields] AND “RCT” or “randomized” or “clinical trial” [in title]); this search resulted in 50 articles, four of which were new articles that meet our criteria; these new articles were published since 2017.

Our search resulted in only six RCTs for psychotherapy, in addition to five other pre–post outcome studies (see Table 1). Of the RCTs, only three resulted in improvements in targeted problems relative to the control group. All three were focused on American Indian clients with alcohol use problems living in the western United States: (a) motivational enhancement therapy (vs. cognitive behavioral therapy or 12-step facilitation) resulted in reduced drinking among 25 American Indian outpatient adults (mostly in the western United States) from a large multisite trial for alcohol use disorder treatment (Villanueva et al., 2007); (b) a cultural adaptation of motivational interviewing (vs. psychoeducation) resulted in reduced drinking (for boys) and decreased depression symptoms (for girls) among 69 American Indian adolescents living on or near Southern California reservations (Gilder et al., 2017); and (c) a cultural adaptation of cognitive-processing therapy (vs. wait-list) resulted in a decrease in alcohol use, PTSD severity, and high-risk sexual behavior among 73 American Indian outpatient women living on/near a northwestern U.S. reservation, with comorbid substance use, PTSD, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) sexual risk (Pearson et al., 2019).

Thus, the range of psychotherapy interventions with experimentally derived empirical support for Indigenous clients is narrow and essentially limited to those with alcohol use problems. The list of options expands somewhat if we include the five pre–post outcome studies, each of which resulted in improvements in comparison to baseline. In addition, two of the RCTs in which the experimental group did not differ from the control nonetheless showed improvement for the intervention group. These include studies on a culturally tailored motivational interviewing and community reinforcement

approach for adults with problematic substance use (Venner et al., 2016, 2021), a Historical Trauma and Grief Intervention combined with group interpersonal therapy for adults with depression and related grief/trauma (Brave Heart et al., 2020), an Indigenous Healing and Seeking Safety Intervention for adults with comorbid substance use and intergenerational trauma (Marsh et al., 2016), culturally adapted dialectical behavior therapy for adolescent residential substance use treatment (Beckstead et al., 2015), and a culturally adapted Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools (Goodkind et al., 2010; Morsette et al., 2012).

These additional studies expand the domain of problems to include trauma, substance use problems (beyond alcohol), and (minimally) depression. However, the range of options and contexts remains narrow. No studies identified in our search focus on anxiety, sleep disorders, eating disorders, or severe mental illness. The range of studies continues to be mostly focused on or near reservations in the western United States, with none focused on Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian, Métis, or Inuit populations. Limited attention has been paid in these studies to urban populations, even though that is where most Indigenous individuals live. These limitations could potentially be mitigated through meta-analyses that gather subpopulation data for Indigenous clients across RCTs; however, this exercise likely would not be fruitful given that Indigenous clients in these trials—if they exist at all—are typically subsumed within an “Other” category of ethnic/racial identity (Crouch et al., 2022).

Thus, our initial observation on this path is that the berries are few. In this context, we certainly recommend for increased attention to Indigenous considerations within research toward the establishment of ESTs. However, researchers should be aware that RCTs may be viewed as unacceptable within some Indigenous communities (e.g., it may be perceived as unfair that only certain community members receive a desired intervention within a context of scarce resources; Dickerson et al., 2020). Shaw et al. (2021) have recently articulated best practices for conducting responsible clinical trials with Indigenous communities; these practices include principles of community-based research that we discuss in later paths (see Goodkind et al., 2015). In addition, researchers should prioritize the inclusion of Indigenous individuals in clinical trials; when ethically possible, researchers should report data from Indigenous participants, as this could enable for analyses across publications (Crouch et al., 2022).

In the meantime, some may argue that in the absence of ESTs focused on a specific population, psychologists could or even should proceed with what we term as “population generic” ESTs. For example, if an Indigenous client is seeking treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and there is not reliable research evidence focused on OCD for Indigenous populations, then psychologists would ideally proceed with an EST for OCD that was evaluated with the general (or another) population. Kazdin (2008) suggested, for instance, that for “parsimony and practicality” reasons, the profession should not begin with the assumption that cultural differences necessitate differences in intervention. Miller et al. (2007) reasoned similarly, arguing that ESTs “represent a good starting point in developing services for understudied groups” (p. 63). Further, it can be argued that the use of any intervention—EST or otherwise—requires tailoring to the client, including their cultural context (see, e.g., Persons & Hong, 2016); there really are no such things as nonadapted ESTs in actual practice. We are mindful, also, that one could reason that Indigenous individuals

This document is copyrighted by the American Psychological Association or one of its allied publishers. This article is intended solely for the personal use of the individual user and is not to be disseminated broadly.

Table 1
Psychotherapy Outcome Studies With Indigenous Adult and Adolescent Clients in the United States and Canada

Author (year)	Treatment focus	Approach	Population	Primary outcomes	Reviewed by
Randomized controlled trials (<i>n</i> = 6)					
Brave Heart et al. (2020)	Depression and related trauma/grief	^a HTUG + IPT (group)	52 AI outpatient adults on U.S. Northern Plains reservation or Southwest urban area	Decreased depression severity; no difference versus IPT-only control	[PsycINFO search]
D'Amico et al. (2020)	SU	^a MICUNAY (group)	185 urban AI adolescents in California	SU did not change; no difference versus control group (community wellness gathering)	[PsycINFO search]
Gilder et al. (2017)	Underage drinking	^a MI	69 AI adolescents living on or near eight Southern California reservations	Decreased drinking quantity, frequency, and problems; versus control (psychoeducation), boys had lower drinking quantity and frequency, and girls reported decreased depression	[PsycINFO search]
Pearson et al. (2019)	Comorbid SU, PTSD, and HIV sexual risk	^a CPT	73 AI outpatient women on/near northwestern U.S. reservation	Decreased PTSD severity, high-risk sexual behavior, and alcohol use, versus wait-list control	Pride et al. (2021)
Venner et al. (2021)	SU	^a MICRA	79 AI outpatient adults on a rural reservation in southwestern U.S.	Increased percent of days abstinent; decreased SU severity and problems; no difference versus treatment as usual	[PsycINFO search]
Villanueva et al. (2007)	Alcohol use disorder	MET	25 AI outpatient adults from multisite trial (mostly in western U.S.)	Decreased drinking intensity at distal follow-up, versus rival conditions (CBT and TSF)	Leske et al. (2016); Pomerville et al. (2016)
Pre-post outcome studies (<i>n</i> = 5)					
Beckstead et al. (2015)	SU	^a DBT	229 AI/AN adolescents from 39 Tribes in residential Indian Health Service treatment center	Decreased overall distress, as measured by Youth Outcome Questionnaire	Liddell and Burnette (2017); Pomerville et al. (2016); Toombs et al. (2021)
Goodkind et al. (2010)	PTSD	^a CBITS	24 adolescent students in three AI Tribes in southwestern U.S.	Reduced PTSD, anxiety, and avoidant coping symptoms at 3 months (but not 6 months) follow-up	Gameon and Skewes (2020); Pomerville et al. (2016)
Marsh et al. (2016)	Comorbid SU and intergenerational trauma	^a IHSS	24 First Nations outpatient adults living off-reserve in northern Ontario	Decreased trauma and historical grief symptoms; no change in SU	Gameon and Skewes (2020); Pride et al. (2021)
Morsette et al. (2012)	Trauma and depression symptoms	^a CBITS	43 adolescent students (84% AI) on/near northwestern U.S. reservation	Decreased trauma and depression symptoms	Gameon and Skewes (2020)
Venner et al. (2016)	SU	^a MICRA	Eight AI outpatient adults on a rural reservation in southwestern U.S.	Percent of days abstinence increased for most commonly used substances	Toombs et al. (2021)

Note. Psychotherapy interventions with Indigenous adult or adolescent clients in the United States and Canada. Limited to psychotherapy intervention studies published since 1995 in peer-reviewed journal articles with pre-post quantitative outcomes. AI = American Indian; AN = Alaska Native; CBITS = Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools; CBT = cognitive behavioral therapy; CPT = cognitive-processing therapy; DBT = dialectical behavior therapy; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; HTUG = Historical Trauma and Unresolved Grief Intervention; IHSS = Indigenous healing and seeking safety; IPT = interpersonal therapy; MET = motivational enhancement therapy; MI = motivational interviewing; MICRA = motivation interviewing and community reinforcement approach; MICUNAY = Motivational Interviewing and Culture for Native American Youth; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder; SU = substance use; TSF = 12-step facilitation; U.S. = United States.

^aCulturally adapted intervention.

who are acculturated to the dominant society may benefit from population-generic ESTs, particularly in those rare instances when they are deliberately seeking such interventions.

There are practical merits to this approach. First, we do not doubt that there are compelling reasons to use certain population-generic ESTs with certain Indigenous clients in certain contexts, especially when overwhelming evidentiary advantages exist (e.g., cognitive behavioral therapy for panic disorder; [Pompoli et al., 2016](#)). Second, given that Indigenous communities frequently experience acute mental health needs in a context of limited access to psychotherapy ([Gone & Trimble, 2012](#)), it could be harmful to some clients to limit the use of available treatments. Finally, it also would not surprise us if certain population-generic ESTs are already more culturally amenable to Indigenous contexts. Such may be the case for motivational enhancement therapy for alcohol use disorders, given the RCT from our search that showed it was effective with Indigenous clients, albeit with a small sample size ([Villanueva et al., 2007](#)). Indeed, there are aspects of motivational interviewing that appear to be relatively congruent with certain sensibilities in working with Indigenous clients, such as an emphasis on strengths and an encouragement of self-directed change talk ([Venner et al., 2008](#)).

Despite these berries, we are aware of thorny patches. First, a blanket recommendation to use population-generic ESTs for underrepresented clients is not itself rooted in empirical evidence. [Kazdin \(2008\)](#) and [Miller et al. \(2007\)](#) both acknowledged such and stressed the importance for more research to guide which types of treatments work best for populations broadly and which require more intensive cultural adaptations. With the possible exception of motivational enhancement therapy for alcohol use disorder, there does not appear to be a compelling *empirical* case for the routine use of population-generic ESTs with Indigenous clients. Second, there are reasons to question whether psychologists—with limited training in anthropology or cultural studies—have adequate expertise to make assumptions concerning the cultural transferability of interventions ([Christopher et al., 2014](#)). Psychology has long been rooted in empirical reports based on “WEIRD” samples (hailing from Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic societies; [Henrich et al., 2010](#)).

Finally, we note that serious (and, in our view, unresolved) criticisms have been made concerning the EST movement’s epistemological narrowness ([Slife et al., 2005](#)) and its myopia concerning multiculturalism and social justice ([Kirmayer, 2012](#)). The [Canadian Psychological Association and Psychology Foundation of Canada \(2018\)](#) report has warned about the potential harm associated with using ESTs that were not validated with Indigenous clients and communities (cf. [Lewis et al., 1999](#); [Wendt et al., 2015](#)). In a context of societal awareness about systemic racism, one could reasonably ask why the onus is not on supporters of ESTs (or any treatment) to demonstrate that they are not harmful to Indigenous clients. We leave this path, then, acknowledging the pragmatic value of ESTs for Indigenous clients within certain contexts, but also noting significant thorns for others along this path.

Path 2: Culturally Adapted Interventions

Mindful of the advantages and disadvantages of using existing ESTs with Indigenous clients, we embark on a second path. This path emphasizes the use of culturally adapted interventions, which preserves fidelity to original interventions even while systematically

adapting these to the needs and cultural factors of a target population ([American Psychological Association, 2017](#); [Castro et al., 2010](#)). In certain respects, this path shares similarities with our first path, especially given that all but one of the studies from our review were culturally adapted interventions, as noted above. However, in addition to this limited list of ESTs that are themselves culturally adapted, there are many promising cultural adaptations of ESTs, even if the adaptations themselves have not been evaluated. In general, culturally adapted ESTs have moderately higher outcomes in comparison to nonadapted ESTs ([T. B. Smith & Trimble, 2015](#)). A hallmark of culturally adapted interventions is that they involve close collaboration with key stakeholders and community members, through community-engaged collaborative approaches ([American Psychological Association, 2017](#)).

ESTs have been culturally adapted for Indigenous Peoples for many conditions, including anxiety disorders ([De Coteau et al., 2006](#)), depression ([Brave Heart et al., 2020](#); [Manson & Brenneman, 1995](#)), and trauma ([BigFoot & Schmidt, 2010](#); [Goodkind et al., 2010](#); [Morsette et al., 2012](#); [Pearson et al., 2019](#)). Several studies have utilized adaptations of motivational interviewing to address problematic substance use ([D’Amico et al., 2020](#); [Gilder et al., 2017](#); [Venner et al., 2008, 2016, 2021](#)). Finally, many substance use treatment programs ([Rowan et al., 2014](#)) and suicide prevention interventions ([Pham et al., 2021](#)) have been adapted for Indigenous clients and communities.

Cultural adaptations vary in the extent to which deep versus surface adaptations are made ([Hwang, 2016](#)). In their review of cultural adaptations of cognitive behavioral therapy for Indigenous children and youth, [Kowatch et al. \(2019\)](#) identified three levels of adaptations: surface, structural, and deep structural. Surface adaptations included changes in wording, images, metaphors, examples, and instructions. Structural adaptations consisted primarily of incorporating cultural practices, such as smudging, talking circles, and participation of Elders. Deep structural adaptations pertained to shifts in the “underlying values” of interventions. These included defining well-being in more holistic, strengths-based, and harmonious terms (e.g., the Medicine Wheel); incorporating cultural beliefs, traditions, spiritual values, and ceremonies; promoting cultural identity and Indigenous languages; and strengthening clients’ connections with extended family members and community mentors. These adaptations generally were aligned with the ceremonies, practices, and teachings of local communities. In addition, during a contingency management RCT with an American Indian Tribe (resulting in reduced alcohol use within the experimental group), adaptations were made to provide incentives that were culturally relevant to participants, such as providing reinforcers using the community’s Indigenous language as well as gifts such as beading supplies and fishing gear ([McDonnell et al., 2021](#)).

In addition, it is increasingly common for culturally adapted interventions to contextualize distress within a frame of historical (or intergenerational) trauma (e.g., [Brave Heart et al., 2020](#); [Marsh et al., 2016](#); [Pearson et al., 2019](#)). Historical trauma “differs from ordinary lifetime psychological trauma in key ways: it is colonial in origin, collective in impact, cumulative across adverse events, and (especially) cross-generational in transmission of risk and vulnerability” ([Gone et al., 2019](#), p. 21). This contextualization can be quite important in facilitating healing within a decolonization frame, as well as counteracting stigmatizing narratives of individual deficits (such as the “firewater myth,” or the empirically debunked but

frequently internalized belief that Indigenous individuals have a genetic predisposition toward alcoholism; V. M. Gonzalez & Skewes, 2016).

An additional advantage of many cultural adaptations for Indigenous clients is a more thorough incorporation of family, community, and spirituality into interventions. A widely discussed reason for professionalized psychotherapy approaches being alienating for Indigenous clients is they are isolated from community and family contexts (LaFromboise et al., 1990; McCormick, 2009; Stewart & Marshall, 2016). Incorporation of spirituality is a critical innovation, given that psychotherapy typically operates from secular assumptions that may limit their ability to address spiritual aspects of human experience (Gone, 2016; Wendt & Gone, 2016). One of the most frequent criticisms we hear from Indigenous communities about professionalized mental health services is that they neglect an attention to spirituality, which is seen as an indispensable part of many Indigenous clients' conception of self and well-being (Gone, 2016, 2021a, 2021b, 2022b). At the same time, it is important for psychologists to recognize that certain spiritual experiences may be inappropriate to share in a psychotherapy context (Venner et al., 2008; Wendt & Gone, 2016).

In terms of research for developing culturally adapted interventions, scholars have highlighted the importance of working within the priorities and needs of Indigenous Nations—respecting their sovereignty and autonomy—including their concerns about psychotherapy interventions and inclusive of their own conceptions of wellness and healing (Drawson et al., 2017). A two-eyed seeing conceptual frame—frequently adopted in health research with Indigenous communities in Canada in recent years—may be useful in these efforts (see Hall et al., 2015), as well as community-based participatory research partnerships (Goodkind et al., 2015). Researchers should recognize the long history of harm toward Indigenous communities through exploitative research practices (L. T. Smith, 2012). It is important to recognize that Indigenous Nations are increasingly asserting sovereignty over research efforts and data collection in their communities (Gone, in press; Lovett et al., 2019), and it is important for researchers to understand the proper protocols for conducting research within these communities. In like manner, the broader research complex (including funders and university decision-makers) should recognize that Indigenous community research is frequently slow and unpredictable, and requires additional time, flexibility, and resources (Hall et al., 2015).

Clearly, there are many berries along this path; nonetheless, we acknowledge some thorny patches. First, a heavy reliance on culturally adapted interventions can potentially be associated with stereotyping or assumptions of “cultural essentialism,” in which sharp conceptual boundaries or binaries are constructed between Indigenous and non-Indigenous perspectives (Appiah, 2016; Gone, 2022a). As alluded to above, the acculturation of some Indigenous individuals to the dominant society challenges stark cultural boundaries between Indigenous and non-Indigenous individuals. Many Indigenous individuals are accustomed to “walking in two worlds” and some may consider themselves to be bicultural (Marshall et al., 2015). Moreover, Indigenous individuals have a myriad of intersecting identities in terms of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, age, religion, socioeconomic status, disability status, etc. (Hartmann et al., 2014), thwarting any attempt to homogenize individuals even within a single Indigenous Nation. Finally, a related danger is the medicalization of historical trauma by

implicitly converting this collective construct to something individually possessed by all Indigenous clients (Hartmann et al., 2019; Nelson & Wilson, 2017).

Second, cultural adaptations—by their nature as adaptations rather than radical reconstructions—generally preserve core aspects of interventions that were originally designed for settler-colonial individuals and communities (American Psychological Association, 2017; Wendt & Gone, 2012b). For example, cultural adaptations of cognitive behavioral therapy to treat trauma among Indigenous clients generally retain core techniques such as “relaxation training, cognitive restructuring, gradual exposure hierarchies, in vivo and imaginal exposure, and the development of the trauma narrative” (Kowatch et al., 2019, p. 11). In this way, culturally adapted interventions tend to preserve a disorder-centric paradigm, which is frequently at odds with the sensibilities of Indigenous communities. In contrast, Indigenous wellness is generally conceptualized as a holistic balance between mental, emotional, physical, and spiritual health (for an Indigenous treatment example, see Gone, 2011). A reductionist approach to mental health is not only undesirable within Indigenous communities but is itself viewed as ideologically suspect and even pathogenic (Canadian Psychological Association & Psychology Foundation of Canada, 2018; Wendt & Gone, 2016). When Indigenous experts are queried about treatments in their own communities, they sometimes propose radical alternatives to disorder-centric approaches (cf. Gone, 2021b, 2022b). Of course, deep structural adaptations would strive to alleviate some of these tensions, which may be further addressed through culturally validated measurement on community priorities (Canadian Psychological Association & Psychology Foundation of Canada, 2018). However, there are limits in how much an intervention can be adapted before becoming a novel creation that can no longer rely on evidentiary claims from the original ESTs (American Psychological Association, 2017). Thus, although we depart this path with many berries, we are mindful of some cultural, ethical, and political thorns.

Path 3: Common Factors of Evidence-Based Practice

These cautions from the second path bring us to a third one, which conceptualizes psychotherapy in a broader and more holistic manner than interventions for specific disorders. This path emphasizes evidence-based practice (EBP) principles in an expansive sense. Here, we behold the three-legged stool of EBP endorsed by APA, consisting of the integration of the best available research, the practitioner's expertise, and client context (APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice, 2006). Within this model, ESTs (including cultural adaptations of such) would be part of just one piece of the puzzle, and psychologists must not lose sight of the relational skill, flexibility, and resourcefulness needed to integrate the best available research in the context of clinical practice. An expanded EBP concept would highlight empirical research beyond disorder-specific intervention studies, including research on common factors (across theoretical models and interventions) such as psychotherapy relationships, the working alliance, and the promotion of hope toward change (Cuijpers et al., 2019; Norcross & Lambert, 2019). Finally, this expanded concept would emphasize the importance of multicultural processes and competencies, such as those articulated in the American Psychological Association (2017) *Multicultural Guidelines*.

This path appears to be quite fruitful, in that it can help psychologists to have flexible frameworks and guidelines, especially when working with populations for which there are limited ESTs. In particular, attention to the working alliance can be promising, in light of research showing that client experiences of racial micro-aggressions are negatively correlated with patients' ratings of the working alliance, leading to worst outcomes (Owen et al., 2011). A recent study demonstrated that therapist-rated working alliance scores with 112 American Indian clients were unexpectedly higher than a comparison sample (Beitel et al., 2021). A clear implication from this preliminary research is the value of routine monitoring of the working alliance, as well as potentially other therapeutic process variables, throughout the course of psychotherapy with Indigenous clients.

An expanded EBP concept would also incorporate principles of supporting the therapeutic relationship that have been emphasized in the literature. These include recommendations for communication, such as allowing Indigenous clients to set their own pace, tolerating long pauses between questioning, paying attention to nonverbal cues, matching the client's eye contact and posture, and using humor to connect with clients (King, 2009; Thomason, 2012; Venner et al., 2008; Wendt & Gone, 2016). Another important consideration is having greater flexibility with certain relationship boundaries, given the importance of reciprocity to maintain balance in the therapeutic relationship; exchanging gifts, providing more self-disclosure, and attending important events with clients may each strengthen the therapeutic relationship in culturally congruent ways (McCormick, 1998; Thomason, 2011, 2012). The therapeutic relationship can be further strengthened by psychologists advocating for their clients, connecting them with desired resources, and prioritizing clients' freedom to direct their treatment—especially given that many Indigenous clients have been coerced or strongly pressured to receive undesired behavioral or pharmacological interventions (Canadian Psychological Association & Psychology Foundation of Canada, 2018; LaFromboise et al., 1990; Wendt & Gone, 2016). Finally, we note that a strength of this path is that it can counteract cultural essentialism by individualizing interventions according to clients' level of acculturation, connection to their community, and other individual characteristics (King, 2009; McCormick, 1998; Thomason, 2011, 2012).

Although there are fruits along this path, we note some thorny patches here as well. EBP principles are sufficiently vague that psychologists can justify the use of just about any practice in the name of “adaptability” and “flexibility” to a patient's context that is, of course, never comprehensively captured by research (Stuart & Lilienfeld, 2007). Such a framework can enable skilled practitioners to creatively harness effective common factors when working with Indigenous clients; however, it is not difficult to imagine psychologists who emphasize common factors of EBP to justify the use of discredited or questionable practices with Indigenous clients (e.g., neurolinguistic programming, thought field therapy; see Lilienfeld, 2007). We note further the research on the limits of clinical expertise, in comparison to more automated and empirically supported actuarial methods, in making accurate diagnoses and optimal treatment plans (Dawes et al., 1989); surely these limitations extend to psychologists working with Indigenous clients.

Beyond these practical thorns, there are systemic and ethical ones—albeit thorns that have been lurking in the first two paths as well. An emphasis on an expanded EBP framework does not

necessarily address implications of therapeutic interventions as cultural artifacts that remain highly prone to ethnocentrism in practice—even by the most skilled and culturally aware psychologist (Wendt et al., 2015). Mainstream psychotherapeutic interventions rely heavily on socialization to an individualist worldview and egocentric interiority, in contrast to many Indigenous individuals who tend to emphasize more sociocentric, ecocentric, and cosmocentric conceptions of the self (Kirmayer, 2007; Wendt & Gone, 2012b). Psychotherapeutic interventions also are typically restricted to certain structural or practical parameters that may be alienating to Indigenous clients, such as 50 min one-on-one emotionally expressive sessions in indoor clinics or hospitals with a stranger (Wendt et al., 2015). Furthermore, professional ethical codes have been criticized as reflecting an individualistic worldview, in terms of inadequately conceptualizing ethical responsibilities to Indigenous Nations, and underappreciating the frequent necessity or even desirability of “dual relationships” between psychologists and clients within Indigenous communities (García & Tehee, 2014; Trimble, 2010; Wendt et al., 2015).

Finally, we worry that a full-throated embrace of this third path could be associated with a myopia about the potential role of psychotherapy as a subtle assimilative agent. There has long been concern about psychologists acting as “crypto-missionaries” (Meehl, 1959, p. 257) by inadvertently “converting” clients to their own values (Kelly, 1990; Wendt et al., 2015). The role of mental health professionals and interventions as inadvertent agents of ethnocentric colonialism is widely documented, in terms of their tendency to pathologize or criminalize marginalized and oppressed individuals without remedying broader social and political inequities caused and maintained by the settler-colonial state (see Prilleltensky, 1989; Wendt et al., 2015). There is widespread evidence of racism by psychotherapists (Ridley, 2005), along with increased drop-out rates, treatment dissatisfaction, and mistrust of clinicians among marginalized groups (Ault-Brutus, 2012; Sue & Sue, 2008; Wendt et al., 2015). In this context, it is not surprising that Indigenous communities have routinely reported that psychotherapy is ineffective, irrelevant, and alienating (Calabrese, 2008; Gone & Trimble, 2012). Thus, although we advocate for increased attention to the skilled integration of EBP principles when working with Indigenous clients, there are clear dangers if we do not see beyond this third path—especially if Indigenous community members are not themselves a part of the conversation.

Path 4: Traditional Healing and Grassroots Cultural Interventions

The cautions from the third path bring us to a final one, which is for psychologists to better understand and promote Indigenous traditional healing and grassroots cultural interventions (Gone, 2010, 2021a). Across North America, there has been a renewal of efforts to strengthen and revitalize traditional Indigenous practices and cultural education (Pomerville & Gone, 2019). These efforts, which include local practices as well as more general or “pan-Indigenous” ones, are not generally undertaken for the direct purpose of addressing discrete behavioral health problems. However, there is widespread belief from Indigenous communities that this revitalization will prevent and alleviate the behavioral problems prevalent in their communities (Gone, 2011, 2013; Gone & Calf Looking, 2011; Wendt & Gone, 2012b). There also are increasing

efforts to integrate Indigenous traditional approaches within health centers and behavioral health departments within Indigenous communities (Gone et al., 2020; Hartmann & Gone, 2012). For example, the Southcentral Foundation in Alaska, the outpatient wing of a medical center in Anchorage, employs certified traditional healers and has integrated traditional healing within mental health treatment services (Morgan & Freeman, 2009). Some of the most prevalent of traditional approaches integrated within behavioral health departments are sweat lodges, the Medicine Wheel, and talking circles (Gone, 2011; Pomerville & Gone, 2019). When Indigenous communities are in charge of their own treatment settings, they tend to provide considerably more attention to cultural education, traditional healing, spirituality, and community engagement (Pomerville et al., 2022; Rowan et al., 2014). There surely are promising interventions that Indigenous communities have not yet developed or conceived (Gone, 2022b).

Undoubtedly, the therapeutic logics or rationales of Indigenous healing practices can differ from those of ESTs in striking ways (Gone, 2016). Moreover, many if not most Indigenous cultural interventions could be positioned in light of a “culture-as-treatment” hypothesis—a widely held belief that “a postcolonial return to indigenous cultural orientations and practices may itself be sufficient for effecting recovery” from substance use problems, suicidality, and other health inequities (Wendt & Gone, 2012b, p. 215). Within this framework, these and other problems are firmly situated within historical losses of “identity, purpose, place, and meaning” (Gone, 2022b, p. 3). An evocative example of a grassroots “culture-as-treatment” approach has been described by Gone and colleagues (Gone, 2022b; Gone & Calf-Looking, 2011, 2015; Wendt & Gone 2012b). In this example, a cultural immersion survival camp was developed as an alternative to inpatient substance use treatment administered by the Blackfeet Nation in Montana. The focus of the camp—which involved “living off the land” for 1 month while engaging in *Pikuni* Blackfeet traditional activities—was not at all framed in terms of narratives or techniques for addressing substance use problems, but rather was aimed at cultural reclamation and revitalization. Moreover, the camp activities were not facilitated by professional clinicians, but by a grassroots society of traditional knowledge keepers. Rather than psychological or behavioral interventions or activities, the camp was centered on traditional spirituality and religious experiences (e.g., a pipe ceremony and sweat lodge), as well as the development of community and belonging among the participants (Gone, 2022b).

We are not suggesting that this path would typically involve psychologists—especially non-Indigenous ones—providing traditional healing or grassroots cultural interventions. The report from the Canadian Psychological Association and Psychology Foundation of Canada (2018) calls for psychologists to “view themselves as facilitators and supporters of the healing wisdom and knowledge that is already present in Indigenous communities” (p. 22). Moreover, service organizations could potentially work with Elders and community leaders to design and evaluate grassroots cultural interventions according to the needs identified by communities (King et al., 2014). An important consideration is that many Indigenous individuals have strained connections to ancestral knowledge and Indigenous traditions as a result of colonial disruptions of families, land dispossession, and assimilative policies (Gone et al., 2020, 2022b). Cultural brokers may be helpful aids in

facilitating connections between psychologists and Indigenous clients and communities (Singh et al., 1999).

In addition, skilled facilitation of traditional healing and grassroots interventions likely requires psychologists to actively engage in relationship building with local Indigenous communities (Canadian Psychological Association & Psychology Foundation of Canada, 2018). In addition to academic knowledge, it is helpful to learn about the Nations, languages, and treaties on the lands where one works and resides (King et al., 2014). Ongoing engagement with Indigenous media (e.g., *Indian Country Today*; *CBC Indigenous*) and attendance at public events (e.g., powwows) can counteract pathologizing stereotypes that could develop if one is only engaged with individuals in distress (see Beaulieu & Reeves, 2022; LaFromboise et al., 1990; Trimble, 2010). In these ways, psychologists are more likely to “stand with Indigenous Peoples, rather than simply knowing about them” (Canadian Psychological Association & Psychology Foundation of Canada, 2018, p. 12).

A turn toward facilitating grassroots cultural interventions and traditional healing could be quite fruitful for several reasons. First, there is at least an in-principle possibility that Indigenous interventions could substantively replace professional psychotherapy within Indigenous communities. Many of these interventions share the four “effective features” of “psychotherapies” (broadly construed) articulated by Frank and Frank (1993): (a) “an emotionally charged, confiding relationship with a helping person”; (b) “a healing setting”; (c) “a rationale, conceptual scheme, or myth that provides a plausible explanation for the patient’s symptoms”; and (d) “a ritual or procedure that requires the active participation of both patient and therapist and that is believed by both to be the means of restoring the patient’s health” (pp. 40–43; cf. Gone, 2013). Second, these approaches can be generally supported by empirical research showing positive correlations of Indigenous cultural identity and mental well-being (Barker et al., 2017). *Cultural efficacy*, or one’s “confidence to learn cultural ways, engage in cultural activities, and acquire traditional knowledge,” is a promising factor for linking cultural engagement with positive mental health outcomes (M. B. Gonzalez et al., 2022). Third, for many if not most Indigenous individuals and communities, Indigenous approaches would be more appealing and compelling—a critically important point given the limited accessibility and appeal of psychotherapy within Indigenous communities (discussed above). Finally, and perhaps most importantly, a turn to Indigenous traditions would be associated with greater attention to the sovereignty and leadership of Indigenous Nations—a critical corrective to the dangers of psychologists being complicit with a status-quo that disempowers Indigenous communities.

Were you expecting there would be no thorny patches on this path? We are afraid we see a few. First, although these approaches are widely viewed by Indigenous communities as self-evidently effective, they have rarely been subjected to empirical research. We are not necessarily arguing that these approaches “need” empirical justification (which may be seen as seeking approval of “the White man”). However, a certain level of community-grounded accountability and regulation might be useful (Gone, 2010; Gone & Calf Looking, 2015), particularly considering that these approaches (as with any psychotherapeutic approach) are not immune from causing harm in some individuals (Mohatt & Varvin, 1998). Second, a turn to Indigenous traditions is pragmatically going to be a slow yield, especially insofar as the burdens for program development, implementation, and evaluation are placed on low-resource Indigenous

communities and underfunded allied researchers. Finally, many Indigenous individuals have limited or strained relationships with Indigenous traditions and their home communities. And as mentioned earlier, more than half of Indigenous individuals live in urban areas. Thus, many Indigenous clients would likely have difficulty accessing community-grounded and grassroots cultural interventions, and some may not desire them (Gone et al., 2020).

Finally, we emphasize that increased attention to grassroots Indigenous cultural interventions would surely necessitate a greater degree of epistemological and methodological pluralism within psychological research (Cohen-Fournier et al., 2021). We urge for academic and scientific gatekeepers to recognize that qualitative inquiry has an outsized role for research with Indigenous communities, due to its usefulness for community-engaged research development (in light of the limited research on psychotherapy with Indigenous Peoples), its attention to cultural context in rich detail that is recognizable to community members, and its tendency to “give voice” to Indigenous participants (Wendt & Gone, 2012a). Although qualitative inquiry has clearly grown in its visibility and respect within psychology over the past decade, it continues to be minimized by clinical psychology faculties and journals, which frequently do not offer qualitative training or routinely accept (or even consider) qualitative manuscripts for publication. As these faculties and journals are reckoning with how to be more equitable and inclusive, a fuller embrace of qualitative methods would facilitate relevant research for interventions to promote Indigenous mental health.

Conclusion

As the title of this article reflects, the question of best practices for psychologists working with Indigenous clients is a thorny question indeed. But the conceptual journey we have taken is nonetheless a fruitful one, we would argue, as it covers terrain that any psychologist who is working with Indigenous clients needs to take some time walking on. To recap some of the major lessons learned on our journey: (a) empirically supported treatments can be valuable in certain contexts, but are greatly limited in number and scope; (b) psychologists should prioritize the use of interventions that are culturally adapted for use with Indigenous populations, but with awareness of their retention of core features of interventions designed for non-Indigenous populations; (c) broader research and guidelines of evidence-based practice (e.g., common factors) can be immensely valuable, but even the most skilled and well-intended psychologists can be unwitting agents of covert assimilative practices; and (d) traditional Indigenous interventions are important for long-term strategies of supporting Indigenous sovereignty and mental health, but there are practical barriers to their development and accessibility.

Indigenous Peoples in the United States and Canada have endured enormous obstacles in the context of historical and ongoing settler colonialism. In this context, this population generally has mental health inequities for which psychologists have been ill-equipped to respond. Best practices for psychotherapy with Indigenous individuals must be understood within the thorny context of limited available research and the discipline’s risk for perpetuating harmful practices. Furthermore, we emphasize the limitations of psychotherapy in addressing societal inequities; community psychologists have long questioned the profession’s longstanding embrace of health

services psychology to the detriment of a disciplinary focus on systems-centered interventions and political advocacy aimed to alter unjust societal structures and arrangements that give rise to immense Indigenous suffering and distress (see Albee, 1998; Prilleltensky, 1989; Sarason, 1981). Although an adequate orientation to such a focus is beyond this article’s scope, we are confident that success on each of our four paths is amplified to the extent that settler nations honor treaties, acknowledge and adequately redress colonial harms, alleviate poverty, support community initiatives, and remove legal obstacles for the exercise of Indigenous Nations’ sovereignty (see Chandler & Lalonde, 1998; Wexler & Gone, 2012). A greater disciplinary commitment to community-engaged research and cultural humility is necessary for such a paradigm shift, including bolstered support of traditional healing and Indigenous-led cultural interventions.

References

- Albee, G. W. (1998). Fifty years of clinical psychology: Selling our soul to the devil. *Applied & Preventive Psychology, 7*(3), 189–194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-1849\(05\)80021-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-1849(05)80021-6)
- American Psychological Association. (2017). *Multicultural guidelines: An ecological approach to context, identity, and intersectionality*. <https://www.apa.org/about/policy/multicultural-guidelines.pdf>
- Antonio, M. C., & Chung-Do, J. J. (2015). Systematic review of interventions focusing on Indigenous adolescent mental health and substance use. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research, 22*(3), 36–56. <https://doi.org/10.5820/aian.2203.2015.36>
- APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice. (2006). Evidence-based practice in psychology. *American Psychologist, 61*(4), 271–285. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.61.4.271>
- Appiah, K. A. (2016, November 9). There is no such thing as western civilisation. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/nov/09/western-civilisation-appiah-reith-lecture>
- Ault-Brutus, A. A. (2012). Changes in racial–ethnic disparities in use and adequacy of mental health care in the United States, 1990–2003. *Psychiatric Services, 63*(6), 531–540. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201000397>
- Barker, B., Goodman, A., & DeBeck, K. (2017). Reclaiming Indigenous identities: Culture as strength against suicide among Indigenous youth in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health, 108*(2), e208–e210. <https://doi.org/10.17269/CJPH.108.5754>
- Beaulieu, T., & Reeves, A. (2022). Integrating Indigenous healing and Western counseling: Clinical cases in culturally safe practice. In D. Danto & M. Zangeneh (Eds.), *Indigenous knowledge and mental health* (pp. 255–281). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-71346-1_15
- Beckstead, D. J., Lambert, M. J., DuBose, A. P., & Linehan, M. (2015). Dialectical behavior therapy with American Indian/Alaska Native adolescents diagnosed with substance use disorders: Combining an evidence based treatment with cultural, traditional, and spiritual beliefs. *Addictive Behaviors, 51*, 84–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2015.07.018>
- Beitel, M., Gone, J. P., Myhra, L. L., Cutter, C. J., & Barry, D. T. (2021). A first look at the working alliance in psychotherapy with American Indians. *Psychotherapy, 58*(2), 248–253. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000338>
- BigFoot, D. S., & Schmidt, S. R. (2010). Honoring children, mending the circle: Cultural adaptation of trauma-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy for American Indian and Alaska Native children. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 66*(8), 847–856. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20707>
- Brave Heart, M. Y. H., Chase, J., Myers, O., Elkins, J., Skipper, B., Schmitt, C., Mootz, J., & Waldorf, V. A. (2020). Iwankapiya American Indian pilot clinical trial: Historical trauma and group interpersonal psychotherapy. *Psychotherapy, 57*(2), 184–196. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000267>
- Brave Heart, M. Y. H., Lewis-Fernández, R., Beals, J., Hasin, D. S., Sugaya, L., Wang, S., Grant, B. F., & Blanco, C. (2016). Psychiatric disorders and

- mental health treatment in American Indians and Alaska natives: Results of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 51(7), 1033–1046. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-016-1225-4>
- Buchanan, N. T., Perez, M., Prinstein, M. J., & Thurston, I. B. (2021). Upending racism in psychological science: Strategies to change how science is conducted, reported, reviewed, and disseminated. *American Psychologist*, 76(7), 1097–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000905>
- Calabrese, J. D. (2008). Clinical paradigm clashes: Ethnocentric and political barriers to Native American efforts at self-healing. *Ethos*, 36(3), 334–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-1352.2008.00018.x>
- Calabria, B., Clifford, A., Shakeshaft, A. P., & Doran, C. M. (2012). A systematic review of family-based interventions targeting alcohol misuse and their potential to reduce alcohol-related harm in indigenous communities. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 73(3), 477–488. <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2012.73.477>
- Canadian Psychological Association & Psychology Foundation of Canada. (2018). *Psychology's response to the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada's report*. https://cpa.ca/docs/File/Task_Forces/TRC%20Task%20Force%20Report_FINAL.pdf
- Castro, F. G., Barrera, M., Jr., & Holleran Steiker, L. K. (2010). Issues and challenges in the design of culturally adapted evidence-based interventions. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 6(1), 213–239. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-033109-132032>
- Chandler, M. J., & Lalonde, C. (1998). Cultural continuity as a hedge against suicide in Canada's First Nations. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 35(2), 191–219. <https://doi.org/10.1177/136346159803500202>
- Christopher, J. C., Wendt, D. C., Marecek, J., & Goodman, D. M. (2014). Critical cultural awareness: Contributions to a globalizing psychology. *American Psychologist*, 69(7), 645–655. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036851>
- Cohen-Fournier, S. M., Brass, G., & Kirmayer, L. J. (2021). Decolonizing health care: Challenges of cultural and epistemic pluralism in medical decision-making with Indigenous communities. *Bioethics*, 35(8), 767–778. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12946>
- Crouch, M. C., Venner, K., Wendt, D. C., Burlew, A. K., Baukol, P., Funaro, M. C., Sorrell, T., & Haeny, A. M. (2022). *Lessons learned and future directions: A scoping review of American Indian and Alaska Native participants in the National Drug Abuse Treatment Clinical Trials Network* [Manuscript submitted for publication].
- Cuijpers, P., Reijnders, M., & Huibers, M. J. H. (2019). The role of common factors in psychotherapy outcomes. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 15(1), 207–231. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095424>
- D'Amico, E. J., Dickerson, D. L., Brown, R. A., Johnson, C. L., Klein, D. J., & Agniel, D. (2020). Motivational interviewing and culture for urban Native American youth (MICUNAY): A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 111, 86–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2019.12.011>
- Dawes, R. M., Faust, D., & Meehl, P. E. (1989). Clinical versus actuarial judgment. *Science*, 243(4899), 1668–1674. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2648573>
- De Coteau, T., Anderson, J., & Hope, D. (2006). Adapting manualized treatments: Treating anxiety disorders among Native Americans. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 13(4), 304–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2006.04.012>
- Dickerson, D., Baldwin, J. A., Belcourt, A., Belone, L., Gittelsohn, J., Keawe'aimoku Kaholokula, J., Lowe, J., Patten, C. A., & Wallerstein, N. (2020). Encompassing cultural contexts within scientific research methodologies in the development of health promotion interventions. *Prevention Science*, 21(Suppl. 1), 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-018-0926-1>
- Drawson, A. S., Toombs, E., & Mushquash, C. J. (2017). Indigenous research methods: A systematic review. *International Indigenous Policy Journal*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.18584/iipj.2017.8.2.5>
- Frank, J. D., & Frank, J. B. (1993). *Persuasion and healing: A comparative study of psychotherapy*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Gameon, J. A., & Skewes, M. C. (2020). A systematic review of trauma interventions in Native communities. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 65(1–2), 223–241. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12396>
- García, M. A., & Tehee, M. (2014). *Commentary on the American Psychological Association's (APA) Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct*. Society of Indian Psychologists. <https://www.nativepsychs.org/product-page/sip-ethics-commentary>
- Gilder, D. A., Geisler, J. R., Luna, J. A., Calac, D., Monti, P. M., Spillane, N. S., Lee, J. P., Moore, R. S., & Ehlers, C. L. (2017). A pilot randomized trial of Motivational Interviewing compared to Psycho-Education for reducing and preventing underage drinking in American Indian adolescents. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 82, 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2017.09.004>
- Gone, J. P. (2004). Mental health services for Native Americans in the 21st century United States. *Professional Psychology, Research and Practice*, 35(1), 10–18. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.35.1.10>
- Gone, J. P. (2010). Psychotherapy and traditional healing for American Indians: Exploring the prospects for therapeutic integration. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 38(2), 166–235. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000008330831>
- Gone, J. P. (2011). The red road to wellness: Cultural reclamation in a Native First Nations community treatment center. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 47(1–2), 187–202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-010-9373-2>
- Gone, J. P. (2013). Redressing First Nations historical trauma: Theorizing mechanisms for indigenous culture as mental health treatment. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 50(5), 683–706. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461513487669>
- Gone, J. P. (2016). Alternative knowledges and the future of community psychology: Provocations from an American Indian healing tradition. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 58(3–4), 314–321. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12046>
- Gone, J. P. (2021a). Decolonization as methodological innovation in counseling psychology: Method, power, and process in reclaiming American Indian therapeutic traditions. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 68(3), 259–270. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000500>
- Gone, J. P. (2021b). The (post)colonial predicament in community mental health services for American Indians: Explorations in alter-Native psychence. *American Psychologist*, 76(9), 1514–1525. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000906>
- Gone, J. P. (2022a). Four principles for cultivating alternate cultural paradigms in psychology: Summary reflections on innovative contributions. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 62(4), 614–623. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00221678211050725>
- Gone, J. P. (2022b). Re-imagining mental health services for American Indian communities: Centering Indigenous perspectives. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 69(3–4), 257–268. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12591>
- Gone, J. P. (in press). Researching with American Indian and Alaska Native communities: Pursuing partnerships for psychological inquiry in service to Indigenous futurity. In H. Cooper, M. Coutanche, L. M. McMullen, A. T. Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. Sher (Eds.), *APA handbook of research methods in psychology. Research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, neuropsychological, and biological* (Vol. 2, 2nd ed.). American Psychological Association.
- Gone, J. P., & Calf Looking, P. E. (2011). American Indian culture as substance abuse treatment: Pursuing evidence for a local intervention. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 43(4), 291–296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2011.628915>
- Gone, J. P., & Calf Looking, P. E. (2015). The Blackfeet Indian culture camp: Auditioning an alternative indigenous treatment for substance use disorders. *Psychological Services*, 12(2), 83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000013>
- Gone, J. P., Hartmann, W. E., Pomerville, A., Wendt, D. C., Klem, S. H., & Burrage, R. L. (2019). The impact of historical trauma on health outcomes for indigenous populations in the USA and Canada: A systematic review. *American Psychologist*, 74(1), 20–35. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000338>

- Gone, J. P., & Trimble, J. E. (2012). American Indian and Alaska Native mental health: Diverse perspectives on enduring disparities. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 8(1), 131–160. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143127>
- Gone, J. P., Tuomi, A., & Fox, N. (2020). The Urban American Indian Traditional Spirituality Program: Promoting Indigenous spiritual practices for health equity. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 66(3–4), 279–289. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12436>
- Gonzalez, M. B., Sittner, K. J., & Walls, M. L. (2022). Cultural efficacy as a novel component of understanding linkages between culture and mental health in Indigenous communities. *American Journal of Community Psychology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12594>
- Gonzalez, V. M., & Skewes, M. C. (2016). Association of the firewater myth with drinking behavior among American Indian and Alaska Native college students. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 30(8), 838–849. <https://doi.org/10.1037/adb0000226>
- Goodkind, J. R., Gorman, B., Hess, J. M., Parker, D. P., & Hough, R. L. (2015). Reconsidering culturally competent approaches to American Indian healing and well-being. *Qualitative Health Research*, 25(4), 486–499. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732314551056>
- Goodkind, J. R., Lanoue, M. D., & Milford, J. (2010). Adaptation and implementation of cognitive behavioral intervention for trauma in schools with American Indian youth. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 39(6), 858–872. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2010.517166>
- Hall, L., Dell, C. A., Fornssler, B., Hopkins, C., Mushquash, C., & Rowan, M. (2015). Research as cultural renewal: Applying two-eyed seeing in a research project about cultural interventions in First Nations addictions treatment. *International Indigenous Policy Journal*, 6(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.18584/iipj.2015.6.2.4>
- Hartmann, W. E., & Gone, J. P. (2012). Incorporating traditional healing into an urban American Indian health organization: A case study of community member perspectives. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 59(4), 542–554. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029067>
- Hartmann, W. E., Wendt, D. C., Burrage, R. L., Pomerville, A., & Gone, J. P. (2019). American Indian historical trauma: Anticolonial prescriptions for healing, resilience, and survival. *American Psychologist*, 74(1), 6–19. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000326>
- Hartmann, W. E., Wendt, D. C., Saffner, M. A., Marcus, J., & Momper, S. L. (2014). Advancing community-based research with urban American Indian populations: Multidisciplinary perspectives. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 54(1–2), 72–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-014-9643-5>
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). Most people are not WEIRD. *Nature*, 466(7302), Article 29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/466029a>
- Hwang, W. C. (2016). Culturally adapting evidence-based practices for ethnic minority and immigrant families. In N. Zane, G. Bernal, & F. T. L. Leong (Eds.), *Evidence-based psychological practice with ethnic minorities: Culturally informed research and clinical strategies* (pp. 289–308). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/14940-014>
- Kazdin, A. E. (2008). Evidence-based treatment and practice: New opportunities to bridge clinical research and practice, enhance the knowledge base, and improve patient care. *American Psychologist*, 63(3), 146–159. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.63.3.146>
- Kelly, T. A. (1990). The role of values in psychotherapy: Review and methodological critique. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 10(2), 171–186. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358\(90\)90056-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358(90)90056-G)
- King, J. (2009). Psychotherapy within an American Indian perspective. In M. E. Gallardo & B. McNeill (Eds.), *Intersections of multiple identities: A casebook of evidence-based practices with diverse populations* (pp. 113–136). Routledge.
- King, J., Trimble, J. E., Morse, G. S., & Thomas, L. R. (2014). North American Indian and Alaska Native spirituality and psychotherapy. In P. S. Richards & A. E. Bergin (Eds.), *Handbook of psychotherapy and religious diversity* (pp. 451–472). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/14371-018>
- Kirmayer, L. J. (2007). Psychotherapy and the cultural concept of the person. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 44(2), 232–257. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461506070794>
- Kirmayer, L. J. (2012). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health: Epistemic communities and the politics of pluralism. *Social Science & Medicine*, 75(2), 249–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.03.018>
- Kowatch, K. R., Schmidt, F., & Mushquash, C. J. (2019). Review of culturally-adapted cognitive behavioral therapy interventions for North American Indigenous children and youth. *Journal of Concurrent Disorders*, 1(3), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.54127/LDMQ1890>
- LaFromboise, T. D., Trimble, J. E., & Mohatt, G. V. (1990). Counseling intervention and American Indian tradition: An integrative approach. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 18(4), 628–654. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000090184006>
- Leske, S., Harris, M. G., Charlson, F. J., Ferrari, A. J., Baxter, A. J., Logan, J. M., Toombs, M., & Whiteford, H. (2016). Systematic review of interventions for Indigenous adults with mental and substance use disorders in Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the United States. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 50(11), 1040–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867416662150>
- Levy, K. N., & Anderson, T. (2013). Is clinical psychology doctoral training becoming less intellectually diverse? And if so, what can be done? *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 20(2), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cpsp.12035>
- Lewis, E. W., Duran, E., & Woodis, W. (1999). Psychotherapy in the American Indian population. *Psychiatric Annals*, 29(8), 477–479. <https://doi.org/10.3928/0048-5713-19990801-10>
- Liddell, J., & Burnette, C. E. (2017). Culturally-informed interventions for substance abuse among Indigenous youth in the United States: A review. *Journal of Evidence-Informed Social Work*, 14(5), 329–359. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23761407.2017.1335631>
- Lilienfeld, S. O. (2007). Psychological treatments that cause harm. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2(1), 53–70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6916.2007.00029.x>
- Lilienfeld, S. O., McKay, D., & Hollon, S. D. (2018). Why randomised controlled trials of psychological treatments are still essential. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 5(7), 536–538. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(18\)30045-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30045-2)
- Lovett, R., Lee, V., Kukutai, T., Cormack, D., Rainie, S. C., & Walker, J. (2019). Good data practices for Indigenous data sovereignty and governance. In A. Daly, S. K. Devitt, & M. Mann (Eds.), *Good data* (pp. 26–36). Institute of Network Cultures.
- Manson, S. M., & Brennehan, D. L. (1995). Chronic disease among older American Indians: Preventing depressive symptoms and related problems of coping. In D. K. Padgett (Ed.), *Handbook on ethnicity, aging, and mental health* (pp. 284–303). Greenwood Press.
- Marsh, T. N., Young, N. L., Cote-Meek, S., Najavits, L. M., & Toulouse, P. (2016). Impact of Indigenous Healing and Seeking Safety on intergenerational trauma and substance use in an Aboriginal sample. *Journal of Addiction Research & Therapy*, 7(3), 284. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-6105.1000284>
- Marshall, M., Marshall, A., & Bartlett, C. (2015). Two-eyed seeing in medicine. In M. Greenwood, S. de Leeuw, N. M. Lindsay, & C. Reading (Eds.), *Determinants of Indigenous peoples' health in Canada: Beyond the social* (pp. 16–24). Canadian Scholars' Press.
- McCormick, R. M. (1998). Ethical considerations in First Nations counseling and research. *Canadian Journal of Counselling and Psychotherapy*, 32(4), 284–297. <https://cjc-rcc.ualgary.ca/article/view/59088>
- McCormick, R. M. (2009). Aboriginal approaches to counselling. In L. J. Kirmayer & G. G. Valaskakis (Eds.), *Healing traditions: The mental health of Aboriginal peoples in Canada* (pp. 337–354). UBC Press.

- McDonnell, M. G., Skalkisky, J., Burduli, E., Foote, A., Sr., Granbois, A., Smoker, K., Hirschak, K., Herron, J., Ries, R. K., Echo-Hawk, A., Barbosa-Leiker, C., Buchwald, D., Roll, J., & McPherson, S. M. (2021). The rewarding recovery study: A randomized controlled trial of incentives for alcohol and drug abstinence with a rural American Indian community. *Addiction, 116*(6), 1569–1579. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15349>
- Meehl, P. E. (1959). Some technical and axiological problems in the therapeutic handling of religious and valuational material. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 6*(4), 255–259. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0049181>
- Miller, W. R., Villanueva, M., Tonigan, J. S., & Cuzmar, I. (2007). Are special treatments needed for special populations? *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly, 25*(4), 63–78. https://doi.org/10.1300/J020v25n04_05
- Mohatt, G. V., & Varvin, S. (1998). Looking for “a good doctor”: A cultural formulation of the treatment of a First Nations woman using western and First Nations method. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research, 8*(2), 79–96. <https://doi.org/10.5820/aian.0802.1998.83>
- Morgan, R., & Freeman, L. (2009). The healing of our people: Substance abuse and historical trauma. *Substance Use & Misuse, 44*(1), 84–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10826080802525678>
- Morsette, A., van den Pol, R., Schulberg, D., Swaney, G., & Stolle, D. (2012). Cognitive behavioral treatment for trauma symptoms in American Indian youth: Preliminary findings and issues in evidence-based practice and reservation culture. *Advances in School Mental Health Promotion, 5*(1), 51–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1754730X.2012.664865>
- Nelson, S. E., & Wilson, K. (2017). The mental health of Indigenous peoples in Canada: A critical review of research. *Social Science & Medicine, 176*, 93–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.01.021>
- Norcross, J. C., Beutler, R. F., & Levant, R. F. (Eds.). (2006). *Evidence-based practices in mental health: Debate and dialogue on the fundamental questions*. American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/11265-000>
- Norcross, J. C., & Lambert, M. J. (Eds.). (2019). *Psychotherapy relationships that work: Volume 1: Evidence-based therapist contributions*. Oxford University Press.
- Owen, J., Imel, Z., Tao, K. W., Wampold, B., Smith, A., & Rodolfa, E. (2011). Cultural ruptures in short-term therapy: Working alliance as a mediator between clients’ perceptions of microaggressions and therapy outcomes. *Counselling & Psychotherapy Research, 11*(3), 204–212. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14733145.2010.491551>
- Pearson, C. R., Kaysen, D., Huh, D., & Bedard-Gilligan, M. (2019). Randomized control trial of culturally adapted cognitive processing therapy for PTSD substance misuse and HIV sexual risk behavior for Native American women. *AIDS and Behavior, 23*(3), 695–706. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-018-02382-8>
- Persons, J. B., & Hong, J. J. (2016). Case formulation and the outcome of cognitive behavior therapy. In N. Tarrier & J. Johnson (Eds.), *Case formulation in cognitive behaviour therapy: The treatment of challenging and complex cases* (pp. 14–37). Routledge.
- Pham, T. V., Fetter, A. K., Wigglesworth, A., Rey, L., Prairie Chicken, M. L., Azarani, M., Riegelman, A., & Gone, J. P. (2021). Suicide interventions for American Indian and Alaska Native populations: A systematic review of outcomes. *SSM—Mental Health, 1*, Article 100029. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmmh.2021.100029>
- Pollok, J., Van agteren, J., Chong, A., Carson-chahhoud, K., & Smith, B. (2018). Evaluation of existing experimental evidence for treatment of depression in Indigenous populations: A systematic review. *Australian Journal of Psychology, 70*(4), 305–317. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajpy.12204>
- Pomerville, A., Burrage, R. L., & Gone, J. P. (2016). Empirical findings from psychotherapy research with indigenous populations: A systematic review. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 84*(12), 1023–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000150>
- Pomerville, A., & Gone, J. P. (2019). Indigenous culture-as-treatment in the era of evidence-based mental health practice. In C. Fleming & M. Manning (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of Indigenous wellbeing* (pp. 237–247). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351051262-20>
- Pomerville, A., Kawennison Fetter, A., & Gone, J. P. (2022). American Indian behavioral health treatment preferences as perceived by Urban Indian Health Program providers. *Qualitative Health Research, 32*(3), 465–478. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323211057857>
- Pompoli, A., Furukawa, T. A., Imai, H., Tajika, A., Efthimiou, O., & Salanti, G. (2016). Psychological therapies for panic disorder with or without agoraphobia in adults: A network meta-analysis. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4*(4), Article CD011004. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011004.pub2>
- Pride, T., Lam, A., Swansburg, J., Seno, M., Lowe, M. B., Bomfim, E., Toombs, E., Marsan, S., LoRusso, J., Roy, J., Gurr, E., LaFontaine, J., Paul, J., Burack, J. A., Mushquash, C., Stewart, S. H., & Wendt, D. C. (2021). Trauma-informed approaches to substance use interventions with Indigenous peoples: A scoping review. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 53*(5), 460–473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2021.1992047>
- Prilleltensky, I. (1989). Psychology and the status quo. *American Psychologist, 44*(5), 795–802. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.5.795>
- Ridley, C. R. (2005). *Overcoming unintentional racism in counseling and therapy: A practitioner’s guide to intentional intervention* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452204468>
- Rowan, M., Poole, N., Shea, B., Gone, J. P., Mykota, D., Farag, M., Hopkins, C., Hall, L., Mushquash, C., & Dell, C. (2014). Cultural interventions to treat addictions in Indigenous populations: Findings from a scoping study. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy, 9*(1), Article 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1747-597X-9-34>
- Sarason, S. B. (1981). An asocial psychology and a misdirected clinical psychology. *American Psychologist, 36*(8), 827–836. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.36.8.827>
- Shaw, J. L., Semmens, E., Okihiro, M., Lewis, J. L., Hirschfeld, M., VanWagoner, T. M., Stephens, L., Easa, D., Ross, J. L., Graham, N., Watson, S. E., Szyld, E. G., Dillard, D. A., Pyles, L. A., Darden, P. M., Carlson, J. C., Smith, P. G., McCulloh, R. J., Snowden, J. N., . . . Singleton, R. (2021). Best practices for conducting clinical trials with Indigenous children in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health, 111*(9), 1645–1653. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306372>
- Singh, N. N., McKay, J. D., & Singh, A. N. (1999). The need for cultural brokers in mental health services. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 8*(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022949225965>
- Slife, B. D., Wiggins, B. J., & Graham, J. T. (2005). Avoiding an EST monopoly: Toward a pluralism of philosophies and methods. *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy, 35*(1), 83–97. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10879-005-0805-5>
- Smith, L. T. (2012). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples* (2nd ed.). Zed Books.
- Smith, T. B., & Trimble, J. E. (2015). *Foundations of multicultural psychology: Research to inform effective practice*. American Psychological Association.
- Stewart, S. L., & Marshall, A. (2016). Counselling Indigenous peoples in Canada. In S. L. Stewart, R. Moodley, & A. Hyatt (Eds.), *Indigenous cultures and mental health counselling: Four directions for integration with counselling psychology* (pp. 103–119). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315681467-13>
- Stuart, R. B., & Lilienfeld, S. O. (2007). The evidence missing from evidence-based practice. *American Psychologist, 62*(6), 615–616. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X62.6.615>
- Sue, D. W., & Sue, D. (2008). *Counseling the culturally diverse: Theory and practice* (5th ed.). Wiley.
- Sunga, S. (2017). Dealing with oppression: Indigenous relations with the state in Canada. *Ethics & Social Welfare, 11*(2), 135–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17496535.2017.1293118>

- Thomason, T. (2011). Best practices in counseling Native Americans. *Journal of Indigenous Research*, 1(1), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.26077/ypcz-9x86>
- Thomason, T. (2012). Recommendations for counseling Native Americans: Results of a survey. *Journal of Indigenous Research*, 1(2), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.26077/4yjb-4134>
- Toombs, E., Marshall, N., & Mushquash, C. J. (2021). Residential and nonresidential substance use treatment within Indigenous populations: A systematic review. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, 20(2), 316–341. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332640.2019.1622478>
- Trimble, J. E. (2010). The virtues of cultural resonance, competence, and relational collaboration with Native American Indian communities: A synthesis of the counseling and psychotherapy literature. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 38(2), 243–256. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000009344348>
- Venner, K. L., Feldstein, S. W., & Tafoya, N. (2008). Helping clients feel welcome: Principles of adapting treatment cross-culturally. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, 25(4), 11–30. https://doi.org/10.1300/J020v25n04_02
- Venner, K. L., Greenfield, B. L., Hagler, K. J., Simmons, J., Lupee, D., Homer, E., Yamutewa, Y., & Smith, J. E. (2016). Pilot outcome results of culturally adapted evidence-based substance use disorder treatment with a southwest tribe. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 3, 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2015.11.002>
- Venner, K. L., Serier, K., Sarafin, R., Greenfield, B. L., Hirschak, K., Smith, J. E., & Witkiewitz, K. (2021). Culturally tailored evidence-based substance use disorder treatments are efficacious with an American Indian Southwest tribe: An open-label pilot-feasibility randomized controlled trial. *Addiction*, 116(4), 949–960. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15191>
- Villanueva, M., Tonigan, J. S., & Miller, W. R. (2007). Response of Native American clients to three treatment methods for alcohol dependence. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, 6(2), 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1300/J233v06n02_04
- Wendt, D. C., & Gone, J. P. (2012a). Decolonizing psychological inquiry in American Indian communities: The promise of qualitative methods. In D. K. Nagata, L. Kohn-Wood, & L. A. Suzuki (Eds.), *Qualitative strategies for ethnocultural research* (pp. 161–178). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/13742-009>
- Wendt, D. C., & Gone, J. P. (2012b). Rethinking cultural competence: Insights from indigenous community treatment settings. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 49(2), 206–222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461511425622>
- Wendt, D. C., & Gone, J. P. (2016). Integrating professional and Indigenous therapies: An urban American Indian narrative clinical case study. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 44(5), 695–729. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001100016638741>
- Wendt, D. C., Gone, J. P., & Nagata, D. K. (2015). Potentially harmful therapy and multicultural counseling: Bridging two disciplinary discourses. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 43(3), 334–358. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000014548280>
- Wexler, L. M., & Gone, J. P. (2012). Culturally responsive suicide prevention in indigenous communities: Unexamined assumptions and new possibilities. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(5), 800–806. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2011.300432>

Received October 18, 2021

Revision received July 16, 2022

Accepted July 21, 2022 ■